

2020-06-01

# Efficacy of toric intraocular lens implantation with high corneal astigmatism within the United Kingdom's National Health Service

Swampillai, AJ

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/15266>

---

10.1038/s41433-019-0744-0

Eye

Springer Science and Business Media LLC

---

*All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.*

# Efficacy of toric intraocular lens implantation with high corneal astigmatism within the United Kingdom's National Health Service

Andrew J. Swampillai<sup>1</sup>, Ali. Khanan Kaabneh<sup>1</sup>, Nabil E. Habib<sup>1</sup>, Catriona Hamer<sup>2</sup>, Philip J. Buckhurst<sup>2</sup>

1. Royal Eye Infirmary, University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Trust, Plymouth, United Kingdom
2. School of Health Professions, Plymouth University, Plymouth, United Kingdom

Correspondence to Dr. Philip Buckhurst - email: [phillip.buckhurst@plymouth.ac.uk](mailto:phillip.buckhurst@plymouth.ac.uk)

Conflicts of interests: none

## 33 Abstract

34 **Objectives:** To determine the efficacy of toric intraocular lens (TIOL) implantation in cataract surgery  
35 patients with high levels of pre-operative corneal astigmatism and ocular co-morbidities in a state  
36 funded, National Health Service (NHS) hospital.

37 **Methods:** Retrospective cohort study involving consecutive cases of TIOL implantation in cataract  
38 surgery with over 3.00DC of pre-operative corneal astigmatism. Subjects were implanted with the  
39 Tecnis TIOL (Abbot Medical Optics) with capsular tension ring stabilisation using the Callisto system  
40 (Carl Zeiss Meditec). Visual acuity and refraction were assessed at 4-6 weeks post-operatively.  
41 Vector analysis was used to calculate the intended refractive correction, surgically induced refractive  
42 correction (SIRC), correction ratio (CR), error of magnitude (EM) and error vector (EV).

43 **Results:** 66 eyes of 47 subjects aged  $73.8 \pm 11.9$  were included. Eyes with ocular co-morbidities  
44 included dry age-related macular degeneration (n=13), amblyopia (n=7), high myopia (n=7),  
45 glaucoma (n=6), previous corneal transplantation (n=2), nanophthalmos (n=2) and corneal scarring  
46 (n=1). Pre-operative corneal astigmatism was  $4.25 \pm 1.69$ DC (range 3.00-12.00), post-operative  
47 refractive astigmatism was  $1.31 \pm 1.05$ DC (range 0.00-6.50DC) and post-operative unaided visual  
48 acuity was  $0.25 \pm 0.19$ LogMAR. Vector analysis demonstrated an SIRC of  $4.08 \pm 1.39$ DC,  $CR = 1.1 \pm 0.3$ ,  
49  $EM -0.4 \pm 1.0$  and  $EV$  of  $1.23 \pm 0.72$ .

50 **Conclusions:** The results demonstrate the efficacy of TIOL implantation in patients with high corneal  
51 astigmatism and provide strong evidence advocating their use in cataract surgery within a state  
52 funded hospital eye service. Refractive astigmatism was significantly lower than the pre-operative  
53 corneal astigmatism and a low error vector was achieved relative to the magnitude of correction.

54

## 55 Introduction

56 Cataract surgery is the most frequently performed surgical procedure in the National Health Service  
57 (NHS), with approximately 350,000 cataract extractions performed in England and Wales per  
58 annum.<sup>1</sup> Studies have demonstrated that around 11.% of patients have over 2.00D of corneal  
59 astigmatism<sup>2</sup>, this prevalence drops to around 2-4% when considering those with over 3.00D of  
60 corneal astigmatic error.<sup>3</sup> Wolffsohn and colleagues demonstrated that uncorrected astigmatic error  
61 as low as 1.00D has a detrimental effect on both objective and the subjective perception of vision.<sup>4</sup>  
62 Each dioptre of refractive astigmatism reduces the chances of spectacle independence<sup>5</sup> and  
63 increases the lifetime costs of refractive correction for an individual.<sup>6</sup>

64 Astigmatism correction at the time of cataract surgery can be achieved through manipulation of  
65 corneal shape: An incision placed along the steep corneal meridian will have a flattening effect and  
66 the larger the incision the greater the effect<sup>7</sup>. Higher magnitudes of flattening can be achieved by  
67 applying limbal relaxing incisions<sup>8</sup> or opposite clear corneal incisions.<sup>9</sup> However, on-axis incisions  
68 may be difficult or impossible to achieve in certain locations. Corneal relaxing incisions can result in  
69 post-operative glare, diplopia and fluctuation of refractive error due to proximity of the incisions to  
70 the corneal centre; furthermore, the technique requires pachymetry to be performed.<sup>10</sup> Limbal  
71 relaxing incisions entail risks such as exacerbating dry eye syndrome<sup>11</sup> as well acting as a potential  
72 site for infectious keratitis.<sup>12</sup> All of these methods are influenced by surgical technique and are  
73 dependent on a variable corneal healing response. Consequently, it is not feasible to rely on these  
74 corneal techniques for correcting high levels of corneal astigmatism. Femtosecond laser incisions  
75 promise a more reliable technique with a more precise wound architecture, but the costs of these  
76 devices prohibit their widespread use within state funded systems such as the National Health  
77 Service (NHS) in the United Kingdom (UK).

78 Toric Intraocular lenses (TIOLs) offer the opportunity to correct corneal astigmatism, without relying  
79 on the healing response of the cornea. Several studies have demonstrated the efficacy of TIOLS on  
80 subjects with low to moderate levels of corneal astigmatism.<sup>13 14</sup> However few have demonstrated

81 their efficacy in populations with high levels of astigmatism. Moreover, the majority of studies  
82 comprise of patient cohorts without any ocular co-morbidities and are not representative of  
83 refractive outcomes of cataract surgery in state funded healthcare. Within the UK, the National  
84 Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) evidence based guidelines form the bases of  
85 treatment recommendations within the NHS. The guidelines advocate the use of on-axis incisions  
86 and limbal-relaxing incisions but not TIOLs citing a lack of evidence on the cost effectiveness of TIOL  
87 use within the UK.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, TIOLs are not commonly available within the NHS. The purpose of  
88 this study was to assess the use of a TIOL implantation in a patients, typically presenting to the  
89 cataract service of a NHS hospital serving a large population.

## 90 [Methods](#)

91 Since November 2016, the Royal Eye Infirmary - University Hospitals Plymouth NHS trust (UHPNHST)  
92 has established a clear pathway to undertake cataract surgery with TIOL implant in patients with  
93 pre-operative keratometric cylinder of more than 3.00DC. The cut off level was based on the  
94 assumption that smaller degrees of astigmatism would be possible to manage with incisional  
95 techniques. The relatively low prevalence of corneal astigmatism greater than 3.00DC, ensured that  
96 demand for these TIOLs could be provided with a minimum impact on other clinical services. A  
97 retrospective analysis was conducted on all patients who underwent TIOL implantation between  
98 November 2016 and January 2019. This retrospective clinical audit was exempt from the UK National  
99 Research Ethics Service approval (as per NHS Health Research Authority guidance) and instead  
100 reviewed by a local institutional review board and given approval from the Clinical Effectiveness  
101 department of UHPNHST. Permission was given to access patient data, which was anonymised prior  
102 to data analysis. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

103 LogMAR visual acuity was performed using the Thomson Test Chart 2000 (Thomson Software  
104 Solutions, Hatfield, Herts., UK) on patients referred to the cataract service. The pre-operative  
105 refractive error was obtained from the subjective refractive prescription by an optometrist or  
106 through objective auto-refraction. Each patient underwent corneal topography using a Pentacam

(Oculus, Wetzlar, Germany) or Topcon KR1W (Topcon, Tokyo, Japan) to rule out irregular corneal astigmatism - a contraindication to TIOL implantation. A Zeiss IOLMaster 700 (Carl Zeiss, Meditec) was used to obtain all other ocular biometry measurements according to the recommendations within the Royal College of Ophthalmologists Cataract Surgery Guidelines 2010.<sup>16</sup> The Tecnis Toric Aspheric Intraocular lens (model ZCTXXX - Abbot Medical Optics) was used in all cases. This TIOL is a foldable hydrophobic one piece lens made of a mid-index acrylic material (refractive index of 1.47). TIOL power and orientation were chosen by inputting clinical values for axial length (AL), keratometry values (K-values), anterior chamber depth and surgeon-induced astigmatism into the manufacturers' online calculator (TECNIS® IOL Calculator Platform, Abbot Medical Optics).

On the day of surgery, all patients were consented for cataract surgery with TIOL implantation. Alignment of the TIOL was assisted with the aid of the Zeiss Callisto Eye system (Carl Zeiss, Meditec). This is a digitally assisted cataract surgery programme that utilises a preoperative image (captured with the IOLMaster 700) with relevant biometry data for review in the operating room. The 'Z Align-Toric assistant' allows the use of reference axes from the IOLMaster and the use of target axis in the microscope eyepiece in order to provide markless alignment of TIOLS. All procedures were performed by a single surgeon (NH) using a standard surgical approach, which involved a micro-coaxial phacoemulsification through a temporal 2.2 mm clear corneal incision. A manual 5.5mm capsulorhexis was performed with the aid of Callisto 'rhexis assistant' and a conventional 'stop and chop' technique was used. The capsular bag was inflated with an ophthalmic viscosurgical device (Healon - Abbott Medical Optics). A polymethyl methacrylate capsular tension ring (Ophtec) was inserted to provide additional IOL rotational stability. The Tecnis TIOL was injected and aligned with the marked steep meridian, as assisted by the Callisto system (Figure 1). Healon was aspirated from inside the capsular bag, taking care to remove any remnants from behind the IOL. The IOL position was rechecked after the anterior chamber was reformed and intracameral cefuroxime 1mg/0.1ml (Aprokram – Thea Pharmaceuticals) was administered. Post-operatively all patients received

Dexamethasone 0.1%/Neomycin 0.35% eye drops (Maxitrol – Novartis Pharmaceuticals) to the operated eye for 4 weeks. All patients attended a post-operative check on day 1 and at 4 weeks.

Outcome measures such as pre- and post-operative visual acuities (unaided and best-corrected), refractions (obtained either by manifest subjective refraction or autorefraction) and all complications were recorded on Medisoft (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH). The minimum follow-up of post-implantation refractive outcomes was 4 weeks. The predicted spherical equivalent (SE) following TIOL implantation was compared with the post-operative refraction. Vector analysis was used to calculate surgically induced refractive correction (SIRC), correction ratio (CR), error magnitude (EM) and error vector (EV).

## Results

### Patient Demographics

Sixty-six eyes of forty-seven participants were included in the analysis for the study. 47% of participants were male and the cohort had an average age of  $73.8 \pm 12.3$  years. Patients with ocular co-morbidities were included (Table 2). The magnitude of preoperative corneal keratometric cylinder ranged from 3.00 to 12.00DC and the magnitude of pre-operative refractive cylinder ranged from 1.50 to 10.25DC (Table 1; Figure 2a).

### Mean spherical refraction

The mean post-operative mean spherical equivalent refractive error was  $-0.38 \pm 0.69$ D whilst the target spherical equivalent was  $-0.33 \pm 0.19$ D. The mean accuracy of the spherical equivalent refractive error was  $-0.08 \pm 0.64$ D with 94% within  $\pm 1.00$ D of the target refraction and 62% within  $\pm 0.50$ D (Figure 2b).

### Visual Acuity

The mean post-operative unaided vision was  $0.25 \pm 0.189$  LogMAR with approximately 30% of patients able to resolve 0.1LogMAR or better (Figure 2c) with two thirds of patients within European driving standards unaided (0.3 LogMAR).

## Astigmatic correction

The mean absolute magnitude of pre-operative corneal astigmatism and refractive cylinder was  $4.39 \pm 1.69\text{D}$  (Figure 2a) and  $4.69 \pm 1.77\text{D}$  (Figure 2d). Following TIOL implantation the average absolute magnitude of refractive astigmatism reduced to  $1.34 \pm 1.07\text{D}$ .

The mean absolute magnitude of targeted astigmatic change was  $3.74 \pm 0.90\text{D}$  (Figure 2e) whilst the actual achieved astigmatic change was  $4.14 \pm 1.39\text{D}$  (Figure 2f). This demonstrated a slight overcorrection that increased as the target change increased (Figure 2g). The actual astigmatic magnitude change was within 0.50DC of the target astigmatic magnitude change in 36% of cases, within 1.00DC in 68% of cases, and within 1.50DC in 88% of cases (Figure 2g).

The results of the correction ratio highlights a slight overcorrection when examining the total group ( $1.10 \pm 0.26$ ). The eyes were then categorised as with-the-rule (within 20 degrees of vertical; 37 eyes), against-the-rule (within 20 degrees of horizontal; 19 eyes) or oblique (10 eyes). The correction ratio were significantly different for the different categorisations (with-the-rule  $1.20 \pm 0.22$ ; against-the-rule  $0.97 \pm 0.27$ ; oblique  $0.99 \pm 0.29$ ) ( $F_{6.876}$ ,  $p=0.002$ ) and analysis with the Tukey post-hoc test revealed an overcorrection of the with-the-rule eyes in comparison with both the against-the-rule ( $p=0.004$ ) and the oblique eyes ( $p=0.45$ ) (Figure 2j).

The absolute magnitude of the difference vector ( $1.27 \pm 0.72\text{D}$ ) was relatively small given the overall magnitude of astigmatic change (Figure 2i) with the refractive astigmatism angle of error greater than 15 degrees in only 8% of eyes (Figure 2j).

## Discussion:

This is the first study to evaluate the outcomes of TIOL implantation, specifically in subjects with both high corneal astigmatism and ocular co-morbidities, as part of a defined care pathway within an NHS trust. The results highlight the effectiveness of TIOL correction within this population as demonstrated by a surprisingly accurate correction index of ( $1.10 \pm 0.26$ ). In a randomised controlled trial (RCT) conducted by Holland et al.<sup>17</sup> 90% of subjects implanted with a TIOL had a residual



refractive cylinder of 1.00DC or less. In comparison, the mean residual astigmatism in our study was 1.34±1.07DC. This can be attributed to the fact that a residual refractive astigmatic error was expected given that emmetropia was not the target in all cases. The expected post-operative residual astigmatism ranged from 0.01 to 6.84DC (0.65±1.09DC). Uncorrected visual acuity was also lower, which is attributed to the presence of ocular co-morbidities. As such, these findings would be representative of a typical NHS cohort; which may make our results potentially applicable to trusts all over the UK.

Studies evaluating the efficacy of TIOL in high corneal astigmatism are relatively sparse and it is difficult to compare results given differences in methodologies. Alio et al.<sup>18</sup> conducted a prospective study examining the effectiveness of TIOL in 21 eyes with corneal astigmatism greater than 2.25D. In this study a slight under correction was achieved (target astigmatic change: 4.54±2.72D; Achieved astigmatic change: 4.18±1.39) as reflected by the correction index (0.91±1.23). Both the post-operative vision (0.65±0.22 decimal) and refractive astigmatic cylinder (0.45±0.63DC) were better than those in the present study. However, this discrepancy can be explained by the fact that the authors excluded co-morbidities and that the expected residual refractive cylinder was lower than in the present study. Similarly, Entabi et al.<sup>19</sup> evaluated the use of T-flex TIOL in a prospective study on 33 eyes with corneal astigmatism greater than 2.00DC. The mean preoperative refractive cylinder of 2.94 ± 0.91D was lower in the Entabi study, as was the target residual astigmatism. As such, it is expected that these subjects would achieve better-unaided visual acuity and lower overall residual refractive astigmatism. However, the study did not report the astigmatic outcomes according to the standardized vector analysis first described by Alpins<sup>20 21 22</sup>, making it difficult to understand the nuances of the astigmatic correction achieved in this study. Similarly, this type of reporting is only partially utilised in the study by Visser et al.<sup>23</sup> which examined the use of four AcrySof TIOLs in 67 eyes with corneal astigmatism greater than 2.25DC (mean preoperative cylinder 4.02 ± 1.28DC). In concurrence with the present study, Visser et al. included eyes with ocular comorbidities finding comparable mean residual refractive cylinder and achieved astigmatic change 4.14±1.39DC.

Our results demonstrated a significantly greater overcorrection in eyes categorised as having with-the-rule astigmatism. This can be explained by the work of Koch et al. who demonstrated that corneal power is overestimated in cases of with-the-rule astigmatism, when only the anterior surface of the cornea is assessed. They proposed that the cornea should be assessed using a method that includes posterior corneal curvature evaluation. If a device that calculates corneal astigmatism from the anterior corneal surface is used, then the results should be adjusted using a nomogram.<sup>24</sup>

Residual astigmatism significantly impacts on patients' visual acuity after cataract surgery.<sup>25</sup> Astigmatic correction during cataract surgery enables the possibility of spectacle independence at distance. For the patient, the benefits are not only economic<sup>26</sup> but also practical. Spectacle correction of astigmatism creates a meridional magnification, which when coupled with the associated back vertex distance, produces retinal images that are both asymmetrically magnified and distorted. The images produced can decrease spatial perception<sup>27</sup> with adaptation being particularly challenging in the elderly population<sup>28</sup> – in whom the burden of cataract is prevalent. The correction of corneal astigmatism during cataract surgery, brings an important advantage in that no significant meridional magnification is induced due to a negligible vertex distance.<sup>29</sup>

## Conclusion:

We believe that our results may offer evidence for this visually rehabilitating treatment to those with pre-existing compromised vision and hopefully resulting in a better quality of life. Previous studies often excluded patients with pre-existing ocular co-morbidities; leaving a paucity of evidence based management of these individuals, who constitute a considerable population undergoing cataract surgery in the UK. The cost-benefit analysis of the widespread use of these IOLs may potentially outweigh the use topography and need for IOL realignment but this requires further inquiry. In conclusion, the results demonstrate the efficacy of TIOL implantation in patients with high corneal astigmatism and provide further evidence advocating their use in cataract surgery within NHS hospital eye services.



- <sup>1</sup> Donachie J, Sparrow JM, Johnston RL. Year 1 annual report – piloting of the national ophthalmology database audit methodology national ophthalmology database audit. 2016. Available from: <https://www.nodaudit.org.uk/u/docs/20/thsumcnchv/NOD%20Audit%20Annual%20Report%202016.pdf> [Accessed 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2019]
- <sup>2</sup> Day AC, Dhariwal M, Keith MS, Ender F, Perez Vives C, Miglio C et al. Distribution of preoperative and postoperative astigmatism in a large population of patients undergoing cataract surgery in the UK. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2018 Sep 6. pii: bjophthalmol-2018-312025
- <sup>3</sup> Ferrer-Blasco T, Montés-Micó R, Peixoto-de-Matos SC, González-Méijome JM, Cerviño A. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism before cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2009; Jan;**35**(1):70-5.
- <sup>4</sup> Wolffsohn JS, Bhogal G, Shah S. Effect of uncorrected astigmatism on vision. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2011 Mar;**37**(3):454-60
- <sup>5</sup> Wilkins MR, Allan B, Rubin G. Moorfields IOL Study Group MIS. Spectacle use after routine cataract surgery. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2009;**93**:1307–12.
- <sup>6</sup> Pineda R, Denevich S, Lee WC, Waycaster C, Pashos CL. Economic evaluation of toric intraocular lens: a short- and long-term decision analytic model. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2010 Jul;**128**(7):834-40
- <sup>7</sup> Akura J, Kaneda S, Hatta S, Matsuura K. Controlling astigmatism in cataract surgery requiring relatively large self-sealing incisions. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2000;**26**:1650–1659
- <sup>8</sup> Muller-Jensen K, Fisher P, Siepe U. Limbal relaxing incisions to correct astigmatism in clear corneal cataract surgery. *J Refract Surg*. 1999;**15**:586–589
- <sup>9</sup> Lever J, Dahan E. Opposite clear corneal incision to correct preexisting astigmatism in cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2000;**26**:803–805
- <sup>10</sup> Lindstrom RL, Lindquist TD. Surgical correction of postoperative astigmatism. *Cornea*. 1988;**7**:138–148.
- <sup>11</sup> Ali MA, Abdelhalim AS. Ocular surface changes after simultaneous cataract surgery and limbal relaxing incisions. *J Egypt Ophthalmol Soc* 2017;**110**:28-30
- <sup>12</sup> Haripriya A, Smita A. A case of keratitis associated with limbal relaxing incision. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2016 Dec;**64**(12):936-937
- <sup>13</sup> Pepose JS, Hayashida J, Hovanesian J et al. Safety and effectiveness of a new toric presbyopia-correcting posterior chamber silicone intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2015 Feb;**41**(2):295-305
- <sup>14</sup> Sheppard AL, Wolffsohn JS, Bhatt U et al. Clinical outcomes after implantation of a new hydrophobic acrylic toric IOL during routine cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2013 Jan;**39**(1):41-47
- <sup>15</sup> National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Cataracts in adults: management*. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng77/chapter/Recommendations-for-research#1-toric-lenses-for-astigmatism> [Accessed 14<sup>th</sup> July 2019]
- <sup>16</sup> Royal College of Ophthalmologists - Cataract Surgery Guidelines 2010. Available at: <https://www.rcophth.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/2010-SCI-069-Cataract-Surgery-Guidelines-2010-SEPTEMBER-2010-1.pdf> [Accessed 14th July 2019]
- <sup>17</sup> Holland E, Lane S, Horn JD, Ernest P, Arleo R, Miller KM. The AcrySof toric intraocular lens in subjects with cataracts and corneal astigmatism: a randomized, subject-masked, parallel-group, 1-year study. *Ophthalmology*. 2010;**117**(11): 2104-2111.
- <sup>18</sup> Alio JL, Agdeppa MCC, Pongo VC, El Kady B. Microincision cataract surgery with toric intraocular lens implantation for correcting moderate and high astigmatism: pilot study. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010;**36**: 44–52
- <sup>19</sup> Entabi M, Harman F, Lee N, Bloom PA. Injectable 1-piece hydrophilic acrylic toric intraocular lens for cataract surgery: efficacy and stability. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2011;**37**: 235–240
- <sup>20</sup> Alpíns N. Astigmatism analysis by the Alpíns method. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2001;**27**:31-49
- <sup>21</sup> Alpíns NA. Vector analysis of astigmatism changes by flattening, steepening, and torque. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 1997;**23**:1503-1514.
- <sup>22</sup> Alpíns NA. A new method of analyzing vectors for changes in astigmatism. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 1993;**19**:524-533.
- <sup>23</sup> Visser N, Ruiz-Mesa R, Pastor F, Bauer NJ, Nuijts RM, Montés-Micó R. Cataract surgery with toric intraocular lens implantation in patients with high corneal astigmatism. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2011;**37**(8): 1403-1410.
- <sup>24</sup> Koch DD, Jenkins RB, Weikert MP, Yeu E, Wang L. Correcting astigmatism with toric intraocular lenses: effect of posterior corneal astigmatism. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2013 Dec;**39**(12):1803-9

- 
- <sup>25</sup> Koch DD, Ali SF, Weikert MP, Shirayama M, Jenkins R, Wang L. Contribution of posterior corneal astigmatism to total corneal astigmatism. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2012 Dec;**38**(12):2080-7
- <sup>26</sup> Laurendeau C, Lafuma A, Berdeaux G. Modelling lifetime cost consequences of toric compared with standard IOLs in cataract surgery of astigmatic patients in four European countries. *J Med Econ* 2009;**12**: 230–237.
- <sup>27</sup> Guyton DL. Prescribing cylinders: the problem of distortion. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1977;**22**: 177–188.
- <sup>28</sup> Ogle KN, Madigan LF. Astigmatism at oblique axes and binocular stereoscopic spatial localization. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1945;**33**: 116–127.
- <sup>29</sup> Novis C. Astigmatism and toric intraocular lenses. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 2000;**11**: 47–50.

### **Titles and legends to figures**

Figure 1. TIOL alignment on the visual axis provided by IOL master with the Callisto Eye system (Carl Zeiss, Meditec).

Figure 2a: Pre-operative corneal keratometric astigmatism

Figure 2b: Spherical equivalent refractive accuracy

Figure 2c: Post-operative unaided visual acuity

Figure 2d: Post-operative refractive astigmatism

Figure 2e: Intended refractive correction

Figure 2f: Surgically induced astigmatism vector

Figure 2g: Intended refractive correction compared to surgically induced refractive correction

Figure 2h: Error vector

Figure 2i: Refractive astigmatism angle of error

Figure 2j: Correction index

		Mean	Range
Pre-Operative Refractive	Mean spherical equivalent	-0.83±5.00D	-13.13 to +8.50D
	J0	-0.83±4.39D	-8.88 to +8.32D
	J45	-0.27±2.17D	-4.97 to +6.89D
Pre-operative visual Acuity		0.52±0.28 LogMAR	0.18 to 1.6 LogMAR
Pre-Operative Corneal Astigmatism	Absolute keratometric cylinder power	4.38±1.69D	3.00 to 12.00D
	J0	-0.96±4.15	-6.89 to 11.53D
	J45	-0.17±2.06	-4.95 to 5.08D

Table 1: Pre-operative corneal and refractive data

Co-morbidities	Number of eyes affected
Retinal	Dry age-related macular degeneration – 13 Retinoschisis – 1
Neuro-ophthalmology	Abducens nerve palsy – 1 Chronic external ophthalmoplegia – 1
Corneal	Fuchs endothelial dystrophy – 2 Corneal scarring – 1 Previous keratoplasty – 2
Glaucoma	Chronic narrow angle – 2 Chronic open angle – 4
Other	Amblyopia – 7 High myopia – 7 Nanophthalmos – 2

Table 2: ocular co-morbidities

































